

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,687	11/05/2003	Douglas Espinda	P-1624-031	8991
	7590 10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
Floyd E. Ivey			ABBOTT, YVONNE RENEE	
Liebler, Ivey, Connor, Berry & St. Hilaire P.O. Box 6125			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Kennewick, WA 99336			3644	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/702,687	ESPINDA, DOUGLAS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Yvonne R. Abbott	3644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 No	ovember 2003.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	-				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not received	a.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) S) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/5/03z. 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1, 3, 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, line 8, "by via" is redundant; in claim 1, line 11, --feed—should be inserted after "bird"; in claim 3, in line 4, "grove" should be –groove--; in claim 6, page 9, line 20, "received" should be –receives--; in claim 7, line 2, "bat" should be –bag--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 4-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. In claim 4, the recitation of the "other shaped downward directed protrusion" makes it unclear as to what are exactly the metes and bounds of the claim.
- 5. Regarding claim 10, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

 See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 6. In claim 11, the recitation of the "eyelets molded as an integral part of the top frame member OR ... the eyelets are affixed by eyelet affixing means" makes it unclear

Art Unit: 3644

as to what is being clamed since the elements (although recited in alternative language) are not equivalent structures.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brandt et al. (1,094,423). Brandt et al. disclose a bird cage/feeder guard comprising a frame system (1) having at least two parts, the frame having means of removably supporting and securing a bag (7); the frame and bag are suspended beneath a bird cage/feeder by supporting cables or hook and hoop means (9); the bag has a top and a bottom, wherein the bottom of the bag has a bow (8) which can be open and closed; and wherein the bag opening at the top receives feed scattered from the feeder.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3644

10. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kosvich (2,028,612) in view of Brandt et al. (1,094,428). Kosvich disclose a bird cage guard comprising a frame system (Fig. 2) having at least two parts, the frame having means of removably supporting and securing a bag (13); the frame and bag are suspended beneath a bird cage/feeder by a hook and hoop means (33, 34); the bag has a top and a bottom, wherein the bottom of the bag is shown to have a tie; and wherein the bag opening at the top receives feed scattered from the feeder. Kosvich, however, does not specifically disclose that the bag opens at the bottom. Brandt et al. show a bird cage/feeder guard having a bow (8) at the bottom of the bag which can be open and closed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide that the tie on the Kosvich guard be capable of untying as taught by Brandt et al. that by allowing the bag to be opened at the bottom, the seed/feed may be removed without detaching the guard from the cage/feeder.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. Claims 4-22 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne R. Abbott whose telephone number is (703)308-2866. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (703)305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3644

9/30/04